

# UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

	•	HK-
FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
INFOSINO	W	113589

MMC2/1004

S H DWORETSKY AT&T CORP P O BOX 4110 MIDDLETOWN NJ 07748

FILING DATE

09/08/99

APPLICATION NO.

09/391,844

TAYLOR, L
ARTUNIT PAPER NUMBER

**EXAMINER** 

2876

DATE MAILED:

10/04/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

				A line and (a)		
		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary	09/391,84	4 	INFOSINO, WILLIAM J.			
	Examiner		Art Unit			
	II INC DATE of this communication	Larry D Ta	·	2876		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>16 May 2001</u> .						
2a)☐ This act	ion is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠	This action is	non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Cla	ims					
4) Claim(s) 1-43 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-43</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.						
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
<ul> <li>a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)			,			
· == ·	nces Cited (PTO-892) erson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-944 losure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No			y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)		

Application/Control Number: 09/391,844

Art Unit: 2876

### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Receipt of Amendment

1. Receipt is acknowledged of the amendment filed 16 May 2001.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 3. Claims 1-17, 19-22, 25-36, and 38-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Smith (US 6,012,636).

Smith teaches a device 22 for receiving account data from a plurality of cards belonging to a user and writing the received data to a universal card. In practice, data is read from cards 10 and loaded into the memory of device 22, the card having magnetic stripes (see figures 2 and 3, and col. 10, line 33+). At another time, the user is provided with an option of determining which pre-loaded card data is stored within the device and selecting and writing chosen data to universal card (col. 11, lines 34-49). Prior to writing the data to the universal card, a fingerprint reader 30 is provided for securely testing the identification of the user (figure 4 and col. 8, lines 35-37). The device 22 contains a controlling processor, storage, input slot, button keypad, and magnetic card reading and writing heads. The device is sized small enough to fit in a pocket or purse (col. 8, lines 8-10).

Application/Control Number: 09/391,844

Art Unit: 2876

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith, in view of Miyake (US 5,886,333). The teachings of Smith have been discussed above.

However, Smith fails to teach the card reading device as being within a cellular telephone. It is notoriously well known in the art for ID or transaction cards to be used for insertion into cellular phones. Miyake shows this art as a card used for identification and transferring personal identification (see abstract and figure 1). It would have been an obvious expedient to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the device in a cell phone, thereby incorporating such a reading device into commonly used items and affording customer convenience.

6. Claims 18, 24, and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith, in view of Maes et al. (US 6,0146,476). The teachings of Smith have been discussed above.

However, Smith fails to incorporate the device within a personal digital assistant (PDA). PDAs are well known to allow the insertion of cards for communication. They allow the user to easily access personal data when needed, affording user convenience at performing everyday transactions such emailing, buying online, or person-to-person contact. Maes teaches a touch sensitive PDA 10 that allows the insertion of a universal card 26 for the transmission of data. Employing the device within a PDA, therefore would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Application/Control Number: 09/391,844

Art Unit: 2876

## Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-43 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Larry D Taylor whose telephone number is (703) 306-5867. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:30 - 5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Lee can be reached on (703) 305-3503. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Larry D Taylor

September 29, 2001

KARL D. FRECH PRIMARY EXAMINER